



BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FILED
2-24-14
04:59 PM

In the Matter of the Application of Odd Fellows) Application 13-09-023
Sierra Recreation Association, a California) (Filed September 20, 2013)
corporation, and Sierra Park Water Company,)
Inc., a California corporation, for a Certificate of)
Public Convenience and Necessity to Operate)
a Public Utility Water System near Long Barn,)
Tuolumne County, California and to Establish)
Rates for Service and For Sierra Park Water)
Company, Inc. to issue stock.)

_____)
And Related Matter.) Case 12-03-017
_____)

**MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE RESPONSE TO THE REPLY
TO THE PROTEST TO APPLICATION**

Fred Coleman
Steven Wallace
Larry L. Vaughn
Ruth Dargitz Vaughn
PO Box 184
Long Barn CA 95335
T-209-586-0551

Dated: February 24, 2014

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of Odd Fellows) Application 13-09-023
Sierra Recreation Association, a California)
corporation, and Sierra Park Water Company,)
Inc., a California corporation, for a Certificate of)
Public Convenience and Necessity to Operate)
a Public Utility Water System near Long Barn,)
Tuolumne County, California and to Establish)
Rates for Service and For Sierra Park Water)
Company, Inc. to issue stock.)
_____)

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE RESPONSE TO THE REPLY TO THE PROTEST TO THE APPLICATION OF THE SIERRA PARK WATER COMPANY

Pursuant to a telephonic conference held on December 18, 2013 for Application No. 13-09-023 Opponents to the Application were advised by ALJ Douglas Long of their right to request permission from the Commission to respond to Applicants' Reply to their Protest.

While the request to respond to Applicants' Reply is at the discretion of the Commission, Opponents think a rebuttal to the Reply is warranted. Applicants provided incorrect information in their Reply, some of their Reply is misleading, and Applicants fail to accurately address the issues and exhibits in the Protest. Applicants' Reply to the Protest does not adequately provide a complete and thorough answer to the Protest and raises further questions that require clarification by Opponents. The Reply also raises new issues that Opponents have not had the opportunity to address

In order to clear-up the erroneous, misleading, and unclear information contained in the Reply, Opponents request the opportunity to defend the information they presented in the Protest document and submit a response to the Reply. Issues concerning the water system in question have been going on for several years. To deny Opponents an opportunity to respond to the Reply would be unfair to the overall process. The Commission deserves the correct information prior to making a decision concerning Application No. 13-09-023. Opponents are willing to provide such information should the Commission grant them the opportunity. With the information Opponents will provide in their proposed Response to the Reply, the Commission will have a better understanding of the issues. Unlike the Applicants, Opponents will quote from the primary source documents that are in their possession. Such documents will substantiate points made by Opponents and will refute what was presented in Applicants' Reply. Thus, by granting Opponents' request to submit their Response, the Commission will be able to base its decision on accurate information.

Accordingly, Opponents request that the Commission accept the RESPONSE TO THE PROTEST TO APPLICATION in Application No. 13-09-023.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Fred Coleman

Fred Coleman

PO Box 184

Long Barn CA 95335

Telephone: (209) 586-0551

Email: mtbunch@dishmail.net

DATED: February 24, 2014